1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	TDS METROCOM, LLC) DOCKET NO.
4) 02-0553 Application for Transfer or)
5	Reissuance of Certificates of) Service of Authority granted to) TDS Metrocom, Inc. in Docket)
6	00-0239.
7	Springfield, Illinois January 13, 2003
8	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 A.M.
9	
10	BEFORE:
11	MR. WILLIAM SHOWTIS, Administrative Law Judge
12	APPEARANCES:
13	MR. OWEN MACBRIDE Schiff, Hardin & Waite
14	6600 Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606
15	(Appearing on behalf of TDS Metrocom, LLC via teleconference)
16	LLC Via teleconference)
17	MR. ROBERT KOCH 527 East Capitol Avenue Springfield, Illinois 62701
18	Springread, frimors 02/01
19	(Appearing on behalf of the Telecommunications Division of the Illinois Commerce Commission)
20	TITINGIS COMMETCE COMMISSION
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, CSR License #084-001662

1	<u>I N D E X</u>				
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	PETER HEALY	1.0			
4	By Mr. MacBride By Mr. Koch		18	0.0	
5	By Judge Showtis			23	
6					
7					
8					
9					
L 0					
11					
12	EXHIBITS		MARKI	ED ADMI	TTED
L 3	TDS Metrocom, LLC H	PLR-1	€	e-docket	18
L 4					
L 5					
L 6					
L 7					
L 8					
L 9					
20					
21					
22					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE SHOWTIS: Pursuant to the authority
3	vested in me by the Commission, I now call for
4	hearing Docket 02-0553 which concerns the
5	application of TDS Metrocom, LLC for a transfer or
6	reissuance of Certificates of Service Authority
7	granted to TDS Metrocom, Inc. in Docket 00-0239.
8	Will the parties please enter their appearances
9	for the record.
10	MR. MACBRIDE: On behalf of TDS Metrocom, LLC,
11	Owen MacBride, 6600 Sears Tower, Chicago, Illinois
12	60606.
13	I'd also like to note that Mr. Peter Healy from
14	TDS Metrocom is also on the phone. He will be our
15	witness today.
16	MR. KOCH: Appearing on behalf of the Staff of
17	the Illinois Commerce Commission, Robert Koch,
18	K-O-C-H, 527 East Capitol, Springfield, Illinois
19	62701.
20	JUDGE SHOWTIS: Mr. Healy, I'm going to swear
21	you in at this time.
22	(Whereupon the witness was sworn by Judge

- 1 Showtis.)
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: You may proceed, Mr. MacBride.
- 3 MR. MACBRIDE: Thank you.
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: You're welcome.
- 5 PETER HEALY
- 6 called as a witness on behalf of TDS Metrocom, LLC,
- 7 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 8 testified telephonically as follows:
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. MACBRIDE:
- 11 Q. Mr. Healy, would you please state your full
- name, spelling your last name, and your business
- address for the record?
- 14 THE WITNESS:
- 15 A. Peter Healy, H-E-A-L-Y, 525 Junction Road,
- Suite 600, Madison, Wisconsin 53717.
- 17 Q. And what is your position?
- 18 A. I'm Manager for CLEC External Relations for TDS
- 19 Metrocom.
- 20 Q. And in that position are you responsible for
- 21 the Illinois regulatory activities of TDS Metrocom?
- 22 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Are you familiar with the application that was
- filed by TDS Metrocom, LLC in this case on August
- 3 27, 2002?
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 Q. And is it correct, Mr. Healy, that TDS
- 6 Metrocom, Inc. was issued a Certificate of Service
- 7 Authority by the Illinois Commerce Commission in
- 8 Docket 00-0239?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. And then if I could refer you to paragraph 3 of
- 11 the application in this matter, is it correct on
- July 31, 2002, TDS Metrocom, Inc. and TDS Metrocom,
- 13 LLC, pursuant to certain provisions of Delaware
- statutory law, implemented a merger of TDS Metrocom,
- 15 Inc. with and into TDS Metrocom, LLC, with TDS
- Metrocom, LLC as their surviving entity?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct. We have provided a copy
- of the Certificate of Merger as an exhibit.
- 19 Q. Okay. That's Exhibit 2 to the application.
- 20 Correct?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. And referring you to paragraph 4 of the

- application, is it correct that pursuant to those
- 2 provisions of Delaware statutory law under which the
- 3 merger was effectuated, that TDS Metrocom, LLC, as
- 4 the surviving entity in the merger, succeeded to all
- 5 the rights, privileges, powers, real and personal
- and mixed property, debts due to and all other
- 7 things and causes of action that were belonging to
- 8 TDS Metrocom, Inc.?
- 9 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 10 Q. And TDS Metrocom, LLC has obtained a
- 11 Certificate of Authority to transact business in
- 12 Illinois from the Illinois Secretary of State.
- 13 Correct?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. And that is Exhibit 3 to the application.
- 16 Correct?
- 17 A. Yes. We've attached that as an exhibit.
- 18 Q. All right.
- Now, subsequent to filing the application you
- were asked to prepare for TDS Metrocom, LLC, to fill
- out the Commission's standard form application for a
- 22 Certificate of Service Authority. Is that correct?

- 1 A. That's correct, right.
- 2 Q. And do you have before you a document that's
- 3 labeled on the first page TDS Metrocom, LLC Exhibit
- 4 PLR-1?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And that is the filled-out application
- 7 for a Certificate of Service Authority for TDS
- 8 Metrocom, LLC. Is that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And did you prepare this document?
- 11 A. It was prepared by me and under my direction by
- other employees of TDS Metrocom.
- 13 Q. All right. And, in fact, if you go to the
- verification page of the document, you have verified
- 15 the document. Correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. All right. And if you would refer to the last
- four pages of this document, the last four pages are
- 19 labeled Exhibit 1. Do you see that?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And does Exhibit 1 to this exhibit consist of
- certain financial statements?

- 1 A. Yes. Those were obtained by me from our
- 2 accounting personnel at TDS Metrocom.
- 3 Q. All right. And those have been designated as
- 4 confidential and proprietary, correct?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. All right.
- 7 A. Those contain information that we consider
- 8 confidential and proprietary.
- 9 Q. Right. And the Exhibit 1 to Exhibit PLR-1, the
- 10 financial statements, presents certain comparative
- financial information of TDS Metrocom, LLC as of
- certain recent dates or recent periods and then
- comparative information for TDS Metrocom, Inc. as of
- 14 the prior period or the same period in the prior
- 15 year. Is that correct?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And if I could refer you back to the
- application filed in this matter to paragraph 6, is
- it correct that as a result of the statutory merger
- or subsequent to the statutory merger, TDS Metrocom,
- 21 LLC possesses in all respects the managerial,
- technical, and financial capabilities necessary to

- 1 provide facilities-based interexchange
- telecommunications services, resold local and
- 3 interexchange telecommunications services, and
- 4 facilities-based local telecommunications services
- 5 in the State of Illinois as were possessed by TDS
- 6 Metrocom, Inc. prior to the statutory merger on July
- 7 31, 2002?
- 8 A. Yes. There have been no material changes due
- 9 to the change to an LLC.
- 10 Q. All right. So basically all that's transpired
- here is that TDS Metrocom, Inc., pursuant to
- Delaware statutory law, has been converted into TDS
- 13 Metrocom, LLC. Is that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct. There should be -- there is
- absolutely no change and should be no change
- perceptible to anybody outside the company.
- 17 MR. MACBRIDE: All right. Thank you.
- 18 That concludes our direct testimony of Mr.
- 19 Healy, and we would offer Exhibit PLR-1 into the
- 20 record.
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: Any objection?
- MR. KOCH: No, Your Honor.

- 1 JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay. TDS Metrocom, LLC Exhibit PLR-1 as it appears on the Commission's 2 e-Docket system is admitted into evidence. 3 (Whereupon TDS Metrocom, LLC Exhibit 4 PLR-1 was received into evidence.) 5 6 JUDGE SHOWTIS: Does Staff have some questions? 7 MR. KOCH: Yes, Your Honor, just a handful. 8 CROSS EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. KOCH: 10 Mr. Healy? Q. Yes. 11 Α. 12 Good morning. Is it true that TDS Metrocom, Q. Inc. still exists and operates in Illinois today? 13 14 TDS Metrocom -- I'm not an expert in the 15 corporate formalities, but TDS Metrocom, Inc. was merged into TDS Metrocom, LLC and still exists I 16 17 think to the extent necessary to complete items such 18 as this application.
- 19 Q. Very well.
- When does your -- when does TDS Metrocom, Inc.
- intend on transferring customers to TDS Metrocom,
- 22 LLC?

- 1 A. We intend to make any formal transfer after
- this application is approved, and I think, as we
- discussed earlier, we will provide notice to our
- 4 customers, and then subsequent to that time any
- formal transfer would take place. As I said before,
- 6 there should be -- the customers should not perceive
- 7 any difference in terms of the functionality of
- 8 their service, any pricing, or service offerings.
- 9 All of that should be completely seamless.
- 10 Q. Very well.
- And in what fashion will you serve notice?
- Will it be as a bill insert or letter?
- 13 A. Our intention right now is to do it as either a
- 14 bill insert or a bill message, a printed text upon
- the face of the bill or a separate sheet inserted
- into the bill.
- 17 Q. Has a similar process occurred in other states?
- 18 A. Yes. We've already completed the transfer in
- 19 Michigan and Wisconsin, and notice was provided, to
- the best of my knowledge, by bill message in those
- 21 states.
- MR. KOCH: Okay. Very well. I have no further

- 1 questions.
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: I just had a couple questions.
- 3 Let me ask this to Mr. MacBride. I assume then
- 4 that sometime in the near future TDS Metrocom, Inc.
- 5 will be filing a petition requesting cancellation of
- 6 its certificates upon notice being given or after
- 7 the notice is given to its customers?
- MR. MACBRIDE: We could do that. Actually I'm
- 9 not sure under the statute a petition is required.
- I think we just need to provide a notice to the
- 11 Commission, if I recall correctly.
- 12 JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay. Well, notice has to be
- given with regard to discontinuance of service.
- MR. MACBRIDE: Right.
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: But to the best of my
- 16 knowledge, there's usually filed in conjunction
- therewith a petition requesting cancellation.
- 18 MR. MACBRIDE: The application that was filed
- in this docket asked for -- if you would look at the
- wherefore clause, the alternative relief requested
- was for the Commission to cancel the certificates
- granted to TDS Metrocom, Inc.

1	JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay. Well, I guess what we
2	could do then is well, let's just go off the
3	record for a second.
4	(Whereupon at this point in the
5	proceedings an off-the-record discussion
6	transpired.)
7	JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay. Let's go back on the
8	record.
9	In response to a question of Mr. Koch,
10	Mr. Healy indicated that TDS Metrocom, Inc. would be
11	sending notice at sometime in the near future of its
12	intent to discontinue service. The application
13	filed in this matter has requested cancellation of
14	the Certificates of Service Authority granted to TDS
15	Metrocom, Inc I believe the statute provides that
16	thirty days' notice has to be given to the customers
17	with regard to discontinuance of service, so I would
18	request that a late-filed exhibit be presented in
19	this matter.
20	Let's go off the record.

21 (Whereupon at this point in the

22 proceedings an off-the-record discussion

1	transpired.)
2	JUDGE SHOWTIS: All right. Let's go back on
3	the record.
4	A late-filed exhibit, TDS Metrocom LLC Exhibit
5	PLR-2, will be submitted in this docket. This
6	exhibit will provide evidence that notice has been
7	given to the customers of TDS Metrocom, Inc. of TDS
8	Metrocom, Inc.'s discontinuance of service in
9	Illinois.
10	The statute provides that there must be thirty
11	days' notice of discontinuance of such service, so I
12	would intend to submit an order approximately thirty
13	days after that notice has been given that would
14	cancel the certificates of TDS Metrocom, Inc. and
15	issue the same certificates to TDS Metrocom, LLC.
16	
17	
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY JUDGE SHOWTIS:
20	Q. Mr. Healy, has there been any change with
21	regard to the officers and managers of TDS Metrocom,

Inc. versus comparable officers or managers that are

- 1 employed by TDS Metrocom, LLC?
- 2 A. No, there have not been any such changes.
- 3 Q. Okay. I just had a couple questions with
- 4 regard to the financial exhibit, and I'm not asking
- 5 about any of the figures thereon, and I know that
- 6 exhibit will be maintained as a confidential
- 7 exhibit.
- 8 The income statements and balance sheets shown
- 9 thereon are for -- well, first of all, turning to
- 10 the income statements, the document indicates income
- 11 statements, and then there's a date September 30,
- 12 2001, so I believe that's for TDS Metrocom, Inc.,
- and then 2002 for TDS Metrocom, LLC, and just so I'm
- 14 clear, if you turn to page 1 of those income
- statements, and I guess it carries over to the
- 16 second page, are the first set of figures for TDS
- 17 Metrocom, Inc. and the second set of figures for TDS
- 18 Metrocom, LLC?
- 19 A. Yes, that's my understanding.
- 20 **o.** Okay.
- 21 A. And I think that reflects that the filings were
- 22 made in Delaware during calendar year '02, so when

- 1 the report was made at the end of that quarter, it
- 2 was rolled up for the LLC.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- And then turning to the balance sheet, which I
- 5 believe is the last page of that exhibit, I assume
- 6 that the same would be true that the first set of
- figures would be the balance sheet for TDS Metrocom,
- 8 Inc. as of 12/30/01 and the second set of figures
- 9 then would be for TDS Metrocom, LLC as of September
- 10 30, 2002?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- MR. MACBRIDE: No, I think that's backwards,
- 13 Your Honor.
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay.
- 15 MR. MACBRIDE: The current balance I think is
- 16 9/30/02 of TDS Metrocom, LLC.
- 17 A. Okay. I'm sorry. When you said the first set,
- 18 I was assuming you meant the older set. The
- 19 previous year and the current balance is for the
- 20 LLC.
- 21 Q. Okay. I see that now. Okay. So the first set
- of figures would be for the Applicant.

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. And the second set of figures would be for its
- 3 predecessor.
- 4 A. On the exhibit the columns are marked Current
- 5 Balance and Prior Year End Balance.
- 6 **Q.** Okay.
- 7 A. The Current Balance refers to the LLC. Prior
- 8 Year End Balance refers to the report that was made
- 9 under TDS Metrocom, Inc..
- 10 Q. Okay. I see. And did TDS Metrocom, Inc.
- 11 commence its operations in the year 2000
- 12 approximately?
- 13 A. No. TDS Metrocom, Inc. began its overall
- operations I believe in 1997.
- 15 Q. Okay. And it commenced operations in Illinois
- then about two or three years after it had started
- operations in other states?
- 18 A. I believe that's correct. I believe it was in
- the second half of 2000 is when we began service in
- 20 Illinois.
- 21 Q. I noticed in your application that as of
- 22 11/26/02, TDS Metrocom, LLC employed 694 persons.

- 1 Do you see that? I guess it's question 7.
- MR. MACBRIDE: That's on the exhibit you mean?
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: Yes. I'm sorry. That's on the
- 4 Exhibit PLR-1.
- 5 A. I'm sorry. Where was that again?
- 6 Q. Well, it would be in Appendix A in Exhibit
- 7 PLR-1 after the first 29 questions. It's entitled
- 8 Standard Questions for Applicants Seeking Local
- 9 Exchange Service Authority.
- 10 A. Okay. I have it. Thank you.
- 11 **Q.** Okay.
- 12 A. Yeah, I obtained that figure from our human
- 13 resources department, which was correct as of that
- date. It's probably a little higher now.
- 15 Q. When did TDS Metrocom, LLC commence services in
- Wisconsin and Michigan?
- 17 A. As TDS Metrocom, LLC?
- 18 **Q.** Yes.
- 19 A. I do not have those dates immediately in front
- of me. In Michigan and Wisconsin there was a less
- formal process I know. In Michigan we were only
- 22 required to provide notice to the Commission, and

- then, as I said, we provided notice to our
- 2 customers, and in Wisconsin that was actually
- 3 handled by our parent company along with certain
- 4 other subsidiaries that were converted at the same
- 5 time.
- 6 **Q.** Okay.
- 7 A. It would have been either late in the second
- 8 quarter or early in the third quarter of 2002.
- 9 JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay. Let's go off the record
- just for a second.
- 11 (Whereupon at this point in the
- 12 proceedings an off-the-record discussion
- transpired.)
- JUDGE SHOWTIS:
- Does TDS Metrocom, LLC have a business plan or
- expectation as to when it hopes to be operating at a
- 17 profit?
- 18 A. Absolutely. We're currently in a mode of
- investing and starting up a new business, but we
- 20 have a detailed business plan that provides for the
- company to become profitable on a time frame that's
- acceptable to our investors.

- 1 JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay. That's all the questions
- 2 I had.
- 3 Does Staff wish to state its recommendation for
- 4 the record?
- 5 MR. KOCH: Yes, Your Honor. Staff of the
- 6 Illinois Commerce Commission sees no reason why this
- 7 petition cannot be granted.
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: Let's go off the record.
- 9 (Whereupon at this point in the
- 10 proceedings an off-the-record discussion
- 11 transpired.)
- JUDGE SHOWTIS: Let's go back on the record.
- I intend to submit an order to the Commission
- recommending approval of the issuance of the
- requested certificates to TDS Metrocom, LLC and the
- 16 cancellation of the certificates held by TDS
- 17 Metrocom, Inc.. the order would be turned in for
- 18 Commission action at least thirty days after the
- notice has been received by the customers of TDS
- 20 Metrocom, Inc. that TDS Metrocom, Inc. will be
- ceasing operations in Illinois. I do not need a
- 22 draft order to be submitted by counsel for TDS

1	Metrocom, LLC.
2	Is there anything else then that needs to be
3	discussed on the record?
4	MR. MACBRIDE: Not on behalf of the Applicant.
5	JUDGE SHOWTIS: Okay. Then the record will be
6	marked Heard and Taken.
7	MR. MACBRIDE: Thank you.
8	HEARD AND TAKEN
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	